From:
 Manston Airport

 To:
 Manston Airport

 Subject:
 Deadline 9 / 10 / 11

 Date:
 03 July 2019 10:51:44

Dear Examining Authority,

Can you please provide an update as to what is happening only as you will be aware Deadline 10 is now past; which was the deadline for comment on Deadline 9 submissions, yet Deadline 9 submissions have not been made available on the PINS portal.

A number of us are looking to see what the Applicant and it's coterie have submitted on the many very important outstanding issues and whilst in any event we (and you) have been utterly disadvantaged by the way the Applicant has continuously back-ended their submissions and failed (even as at Deadline 8) to answer fundamental questions clearly, we believe it to be extremely important that we have a chance to respond.

Personally, as I said in my Objection D9 submission I think that this number of fundamental unanswered questions rightly raised by yourselves at 4WQ means that future (D10/11) submissions can only result in more questions than answers, and will not deliver resolution. This said and in view of the Applicants failure to comply with the process (as the ongoing funding requirement exemplifies) I think it is extremely important not to reward non-compliance by extending beyond the end date for this examination, and if at all possible to close this examination on time.

I hope very much that you concur and can share a revised timetable to 9 July imminently so that we know where we stand.

Kind regards, Georgina Rooke

.

Sent from my iPhone

From:
To:
Manston Airport
Subject:
Bypassing the ExA
Date:
03 July 2019 15:32:57

Dear Examining Authority,

I will keep this brief as the recent communication from BDB posted to the PINS website has yet to sink in but also as stated by BDB the consequences for this application are yet to be fully known and understood.

In the meantime can you please reassure us of your relevance in the future for this Manston application and where this leaves the current process? We note that the Applicant appears to want to bypass you and somehow deal with the SoS directly, which appears highly irregular and might call into question the integrity of the SoS if allowed to happen and if this application were to be approved at a later date.

Please advise.

Kind regards, Georgina Rooke

Sent from my iPhone